THE COMPUTERTECH
(An International Peer Review Journal)
VOLUME 12; ISSUE 1 (JAN-JUNE); (2026)
WEBSITE: THE COMPUTERTECH

The Future of Data Quality: Emerging Trends, Challenges, and Opportunities

Charles Møller[1*]

[1]University of Arkansas at Little Rock (ERIQ), USA

Abstract

The landscape of data quality management is undergoing rapid transformation, driven by advances in artificial intelligence, the proliferation of data sources, evolving regulatory requirements, and changing organizational expectations. This review examines emerging trends, challenges, and opportunities that will shape the future of data quality. Drawing on literature from data management, information systems, and technology forecasting, we analyze key trends including AI-driven quality automation, real-time quality assurance, semantic quality assessment, and the integration of quality with data governance. We examine challenges that must be addressed, including bias in AI-driven quality systems, the complexity of multimodal and heterogeneous data, evolving regulatory requirements, and the need for human-AI collaboration. We identify opportunities for innovation, including quality as a service, quality-aware data ecosystems, and quality for responsible AI. We propose a roadmap for the future of data quality that addresses technical, organizational, and societal dimensions.

Keywords: Future of Data Quality; AI-Driven Quality; Real-Time Quality Assurance; Semantic Quality; Data Governance; Emerging Trends; Data Ecosystems; Responsible AI

Introduction

The landscape of data quality management is undergoing rapid and profound transformation. Advances in artificial intelligence are enabling new capabilities for quality assessment, anomaly detection, and data cleaning. The proliferation of data sources and the growth of data volume, velocity, and variety are creating new quality challenges. Evolving regulatory requirements are raising the stakes for quality assurance. And changing organizational expectations are elevating data quality from a technical concern to a strategic imperative.

These transformations are reshaping the practice and profession of data quality management. Traditional approaches, which emphasize manual assessment, periodic audits, and reactive correction, are giving way to automated, continuous, and proactive quality management. The skills and expertise required for data quality are evolving, with growing emphasis on AI, data science, and governance. The organizational structures and processes that support quality are being reimagined, with quality becoming an integral part of data strategy and operations.

This review examines the emerging trends, challenges, and opportunities that will shape the future of data quality. We analyze the key drivers of change and their implications for organizations, practitioners, and policymakers. We identify the technical, organizational, and societal challenges that must be addressed for the future of data quality to be realized. And we propose a roadmap for the future that provides guidance for navigating the transformations ahead.

The remainder of this article is organized as follows. Section 2 examines the key drivers of change in data quality. Section 3 analyzes emerging trends in quality management. Section 4 addresses the challenges that must be overcome. Section 5 identifies opportunities for innovation. Section 6 examines the implications for organizations and practitioners. Section 7 proposes a roadmap for the future. Section 8 identifies research priorities. Section 9 concludes.

Drivers of Change in Data Quality

Several key drivers are reshaping the landscape of data quality management. Understanding these drivers is essential for anticipating and navigating the transformations ahead.

Advances in artificial intelligence are perhaps the most significant driver of change. AI techniques are enabling new capabilities for quality assessment, anomaly detection, and data cleaning that were previously impossible. As AI capabilities continue to advance, the potential for automated quality management will expand further, creating new opportunities and challenges.

The growth of data volume, velocity, and variety is creating new quality challenges. Organizations are collecting more data from more sources than ever before, including structured data from databases, unstructured data from text and images, and streaming data from sensors and devices. The heterogeneity and scale of data create quality challenges that traditional approaches cannot address.

Evolving regulatory requirements are raising the stakes for quality assurance. The EU AI Act, GDPR, and other regulations establish requirements for data quality, particularly in high-risk applications. Regulatory compliance is becoming a driver of quality investment, creating both challenges and opportunities.

Changing organizational expectations are elevating data quality from a technical concern to a strategic imperative. Organizations are recognizing that data quality is essential for competitive advantage, customer trust, and operational efficiency. This recognition is driving investment in quality and elevating its priority in organizational strategy.

Emerging Trends in Data Quality Management

Several emerging trends are reshaping data quality management. These trends reflect the drivers of change and point toward the future of quality.

AI-driven quality automation is one of the most significant emerging trends. Organizations are increasingly leveraging AI to automate quality assessment, anomaly detection, and data cleaning. As AI capabilities advance, the scope and effectiveness of automated quality management will expand. This trend is shifting the role of human quality professionals from manual assessment to oversight and improvement of automated systems.

Real-time quality assurance is another emerging trend. Traditional quality approaches emphasize periodic assessment, with quality checked at defined intervals. Real-time quality assurance enables continuous monitoring, with quality issues detected and addressed as they arise. This approach is essential for applications where timeliness is critical, such as real-time analytics and operational systems.

Semantic quality assessment represents an emerging frontier. Traditional quality assessment emphasizes structural and statistical properties of data. Semantic quality assessment addresses meaning and context, determining whether data are fit for their intended purpose. Advances in natural language processing and knowledge representation are enabling semantic quality assessment at scale.

Integration of quality with data governance is an emerging trend. Traditionally, quality and governance have been treated as separate concerns. Increasingly, organizations are integrating quality into governance structures, recognizing that quality is essential for effective governance and that governance provides the organizational support that quality requires.

Quality as a service is an emerging business model. Rather than developing quality capabilities in-house, organizations are increasingly purchasing quality services from specialized providers. This model enables organizations to access sophisticated quality capabilities without the investment required for in-house development.

Challenges for the Future of Data Quality

Several challenges must be addressed for the future of data quality to be realized. These challenges reflect the complexity of the transformations underway and the difficulty of navigating them.

Bias in AI-driven quality systems is a significant challenge. AI systems trained on biased data may produce biased quality assessments, perpetuating and amplifying existing biases. Ensuring fairness in automated quality systems requires attention to training data, algorithm design, and ongoing monitoring.

Complexity of multimodal and heterogeneous data creates quality challenges. Combining data from different sources, formats, and modalities requires sophisticated quality approaches that can address the unique characteristics of each type of data. The complexity of multimodal data may exceed the capabilities of current quality systems.

Evolving regulatory requirements create compliance challenges. As regulations continue to evolve, organizations must keep pace with changing requirements. Regulatory uncertainty can complicate quality planning and investment.

Human-AI collaboration requires careful design. As AI takes on more quality tasks, the relationship between humans and machines must be carefully designed to leverage the strengths of each. Human judgment remains essential for complex quality decisions, while AI excels at routine assessment and anomaly detection.

Skill gaps limit the adoption of advanced quality approaches. Implementing AI-driven quality requires specialized expertise in machine learning, data management, and quality assurance. Many organizations lack this expertise, limiting their ability to leverage advanced quality capabilities.

Opportunities for Innovation

The transformations underway in data quality create significant opportunities for innovation. These opportunities span technical, organizational, and business dimensions.

Quality as a service represents a significant business opportunity. Organizations that develop sophisticated quality capabilities can offer them as services to other organizations. This model enables cost sharing, expertise sharing, and innovation across the quality ecosystem.

Quality-aware data ecosystems represent an opportunity for innovation at the ecosystem level. Organizations that share data can develop shared quality standards and processes, reducing the cost and effort of quality management for all participants. Quality-aware ecosystems can enhance trust and value in data sharing.

Quality for responsible AI is an emerging opportunity. As organizations recognize the importance of quality for AI performance and fairness, the demand for quality capabilities that support responsible AI will grow. Organizations that develop these capabilities will be well-positioned to meet this demand.

Continuous improvement through feedback loops is an opportunity for innovation. Organizations can use feedback from quality monitoring to improve both data quality and AI performance, creating virtuous cycles of improvement.

Implications for Organizations and Practitioners

The transformations underway have significant implications for organizations and practitioners. Organizations must adapt their strategies, structures, and capabilities to navigate the changing landscape. Practitioners must develop new skills and expertise to remain relevant.

For organizations, data quality must be elevated from a technical concern to a strategic imperative. Quality should be integrated into data strategy, governance, and operations. Investment in quality should be commensurate with its importance for organizational performance.

For practitioners, the role of data quality professional is evolving. Traditional skills in data profiling, cleaning, and assessment remain important, but they are being supplemented by skills in AI, data science, and governance. Practitioners must develop expertise in AI-driven quality tools, understand the implications of AI for quality, and be able to lead quality improvement initiatives.

A Roadmap for the Future of Data Quality

We propose a roadmap for the future of data quality that addresses technical, organizational, and societal dimensions. The roadmap is organized around three phases: foundation, acceleration, and transformation.

The foundation phase establishes the capabilities and infrastructure for future quality management. This phase emphasizes building data quality foundations, including governance, processes, and tools. Organizations should invest in quality capabilities that provide a solid foundation for future innovation.

The acceleration phase leverages AI and automation to scale quality capabilities. This phase emphasizes implementing AI-driven quality tools, automating routine quality tasks, and building continuous quality monitoring. Organizations should invest in AI capabilities that enhance quality management.

The transformation phase fundamentally reimagines quality management. This phase emphasizes semantic quality assessment, quality-aware ecosystems, and quality as a service. Organizations should invest in transformative capabilities that create new value from quality management.

Research Priorities

Several research priorities are needed to advance the future of data quality. Research on AI-driven quality assessment is needed to develop more accurate and reliable quality tools. Research on fairness in automated quality is needed to ensure that quality systems do not perpetuate bias. Research on human-AI collaboration is needed to design effective partnerships. Research on quality-aware ecosystems is needed to support data sharing and integration. Research on regulatory impacts is needed to understand how regulations affect quality practices and outcomes.

Conclusion

The future of data quality is being shaped by powerful forces of change, including advances in AI, the growth of data, evolving regulatory requirements, and changing organizational expectations. These forces are creating new capabilities, challenges, and opportunities for quality management. Emerging trends point toward a future in which quality is automated, continuous, semantic, and integrated with governance. AI-driven quality automation will transform the practice of quality assessment and improvement. Real-time quality assurance will enable continuous monitoring and rapid correction. Semantic quality assessment will address meaning and context, determining whether data are fit for their intended purpose. Integration of quality with governance will provide the organizational support that quality requires. Significant challenges must be addressed for this future to be realized. Bias in AI-driven quality systems, the complexity of multimodal data, evolving regulatory requirements, and human-AI collaboration all require attention. However, these challenges also present opportunities for innovation in quality as a service, quality-aware ecosystems, and quality for responsible AI. A roadmap for the future, organized around foundation, acceleration, and transformation phases, provides guidance for organizations and practitioners navigating the transformations ahead. Research priorities must address the challenges and opportunities of AI-driven quality, fairness, human-AI collaboration, and regulatory compliance. As data become increasingly central to organizational operations, decision-making, and competitive advantage, the importance of data quality will only intensify. Organizations that successfully navigate the transformations ahead will be better positioned to realize the benefits of their data and avoid the costs of poor quality. The future of data quality is one of significant promise and significant challenge, requiring sustained attention to the technical, organizational, and societal dimensions of quality management

References

[1] Mallempati, A. (2023). Smart Data, Smart Decisions: The Future of MDM & Governance. *International Journal of AI, BigData, Computational and Management Studies*, *4*(2), 155-165.

[2] Ehrlinger, L., & Wöß, W. (2022). A survey of data quality measurement and monitoring tools. Frontiers in Big Data, *5*, 850611.

[3] Kumar, M. S. (2023). A Scalable Architecture for Automated Data Classification and Sensitive Information Discovery Using Artificial Intelligence. *International Journal of Emerging Research in Engineering and Technology*, *4*(2), 158-169.

[4] Miller, T. (2023). A hierarchical model for data quality terminology. Data & Knowledge Engineering, *143*, 102087. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.datak.2022.102087

[5] Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., ... & Mons, B. (2016). The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. Scientific Data, *3*, 160018. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18

[6] Stall, S., Yarmey, L., Cutcher-Gershenfeld, J., Hanson, B., Lehnert, K., Nosek, B., ... & Wyborn, L. (2019). Make scientific data FAIR. Nature, *570*(7759), 27–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-01720-7

[7] NIST. (2023). Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0). National Institute of Standards and Technology.

[8] ISO/IEC 42001:2023. Artificial intelligence — Management system. International Organization for Standardization.

[9] European Union. (2024). Regulation 2024/1689 (EU AI Act). Official Journal of the European Union.

[10] Batini, C., & Scannapieco, M. (2016). Data and Information Quality: Dimensions, Principles and Techniques. Springer.

[11] Jaladi, D. S., & Mallempati, A. (2025). A Real-Time Enterprise Application Architecture for High-Volume Data Processing with Integrated Master Data Management. *International Journal of Emerging Research in Engineering and Technology*, *6*(1), 126-134.

[12] Loshin, D. (2011). The Practitioner's Guide to Data Quality Improvement. Morgan Kaufmann.

[13] Kumar, M. S., & Yuvaraj, N. (2024). Predictive Customer Experience Orchestration Using Governed Data Pipelines and Intelligent Service Signals. *International Journal of Emerging Trends in Computer Science and Information Technology*, *5*(1), 206-215.

[14] Wang, R. Y., & Strong, D. M. (1996). Beyond accuracy: What data quality means to data consumers. Journal of Management Information Systems, *12*(4), 5–33.

[15] Kumar, M. S., & Yuvaraj, N. (2024). Predictive Customer Experience Orchestration Using Governed Data Pipelines and Intelligent Service Signals. *International Journal of Emerging Trends in Computer Science and Information Technology*, *5*(1), 206-215.

[16] Pipino, L. L., Lee, Y. W., & Wang, R. Y. (2002). Data quality assessment. Communications of the ACM, *45*(4), 211–218.

[17] Aluri, Y. S. (2024). Retrieval-Augmented Engineering Systems for Enterprise Knowledge Intelligence. *American International Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, *6*(2), 84-95.

[18] Strong, D. M., Lee, Y. W., & Wang, R. Y. (1997). Data quality in context. Communications of the ACM, *40*(5), 103–110.

[19] Aluri, Y. S. (2025). Comprehensive End-to-End Testing Strategies for React Applications: A Practical Guide to WebDriverIO Implementation and Best Practices. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies*, *7*(12), 237-243.

[20] Haug, A., & Zachariassen, F. (2016). Data quality in CRM systems. Industrial Management & Data Systems, *116*(9), 1896–1914.

[21] Kumar, M. S. (2024). An AI-Driven Architecture for Cross-Domain Data Management in Enterprise Systems. *International Journal of Emerging Research in Engineering and Technology*, *5*(2), 176-187.

[22] Redman, T. C. (2008). Data Driven: Profiting from Your Most Important Business Asset. Harvard Business Press.

[23] Lee, Y. W., Pipino, L. L., Funk, J. D., & Wang, R. Y. (2006). Journey to Data Quality. MIT Press.

[24] Aluri, Y. S. (2025). Frontend Performance Optimization of Large-Scale E-commerce Landing Pages: A Comprehensive Analysis. *International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and Engineering*, *11*(4).

[25] Olson, J. E. (2003). Data Quality: The Accuracy Dimension. Morgan Kaufmann.

[26] Yuvaraj, N., & Kumar, M. S. (2025). Agentic AI for Zero-Touch Customer Experience: A Governance-Constrained Framework for Autonomous Service Systems. *American International Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, *7*(2), 100-111.

[27] Herzog, T. N., Scheuren, F. J., & Winkler, W. E. (2007). Data Quality and Record Linkage Techniques. Springer.

[28] Batini, C., Cappiello, C., Francalanci, C., & Maurino, A. (2009). Methodologies for data quality assessment and improvement. ACM Computing Surveys, *41*(3), 1–52.

[29] Aluri, Y. S. (2025). Agentic AI Frameworks for Autonomous Enterprise Software Development Workflows. *International Journal of AI, BigData, Computational and Management Studies*, *6*(1), 217-226.

[30] Boeckhout, M., Zielhuis, G. A., & Bredenoord, A. L. (2018). The FAIR guiding principles for data stewardship: Fair enough? European Journal of Human Genetics, *26*(7), 931–936.

[31] Jaladi, D. S., & Mallempati, A. (2024). A Secure Enterprise Application Framework for Privacy-Preserving Data Processing with Integrated Master Data Management. *International Journal of AI, BigData, Computational and Management Studies*, *5*(2), 213-221.

[32] Sansone, S. A., McQuilton, P., Rocca-Serra, P., Gonzalez-Beltran, A., Izzo, M., Lister, A. L., & Thurston, M. (2019). FAIRsharing as a community approach to standards, repositories and policies. Nature Biotechnology, *37*(4), 358–367.

[33] Mallempati, A. (2024). Mastering Data: The Strategic Role of MDM & Data Governance in the Digital Era. *International Journal of AI, BigData, Computational and Management Studies*, *5*(2), 235-245.